#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No T/IB2004/001373

A. CLASS IPC 7	HICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER H04N7/26	•	
According (	to international Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classi	fication and IPC	
	SEARCHED		
Minimum d IPC 7	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classific $H04N$	allon symbols)	
Documenta	ation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that	it such documents are included in the fields s	earched
	data base consulted during the international search (name of data ternal, WPI Data, PAJ	base and, where practical, search terms used	
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the	relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	"MUNICH MEETING OF MPEG-4 WORKING REPORT ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11 MI INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION - ORGANISATION INTERNATIONALE DE NORMALISATION 1996, pages 3-49, XP002047798 page 5; figure 3.1.2 page 11, paragraph 3.3.2 - page line	PEG4/N1172"	1-7
			·
	er documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in	n annex.
"A" documer conside "E" earlier dr filing da "L" documer which is citation "O" documer other m "P" documen later tha	at which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or solled to establish the publication date of another or other special reason (as specified) in referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or eans at published prior to the international filing date but in the priority date claimed	<ul> <li>"T" later document published after the inte or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention</li> <li>"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the considered to involve an involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or moments, such combination being obvious in the art.</li> <li>"8" document member of the same patent for the such considered to involve and the art.</li> </ul>	the application but cory underlying the lairned invention be considered to current is taken alone lairned invention tentive step when the re other such docurs to a person skilled
	July 2004	Date of mailing of the international sear 02/08/2004	ch report
vame and ma	alling address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nt, Fax: (+31–70) 340–3016	Authorized officer Schoeyer, M	

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
T/IB2004/001373

		TE1/182004/0013/3
	ntion) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Υ	EBRAHIMI T: "MPEG-4 video verification model: A video encoding/decoding algorithm based on content representation" SIGNAL PROCESSING. IMAGE COMMUNICATION, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS, AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 9, no. 4, 1 May 1997 (1997-05-01), pages 367-384, XP004075336 ISSN: 0923-5965 page 371, left-hand column, line 21 - page 372, left-hand column, paragraph 2.1 page 373, right-hand column, paragraph 2.2 - page 376, left-hand column, paragraphs 3.1,2	1-7
Υ	EP 0 891 093 A (MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC IND CO LTD) 13 January 1999 (1999-01-13) abstract column 22, line 31 - column 24, line 18	1-7
A	WO 03/034743 A (KONINKL PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NV) 24 April 2003 (2003-04-24) abstract page 1, line 1 - page 3, line 6	1-7
:		
	,	·
, .		

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No TT/IB2004/001373

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0891093	Α	13-01-1999	JP	3191922 B2	23-07-2001
			JP	11088881 A	30-03-1999
			CN	1312638 A	12-09-2001
			CN	1312639 A	12-09-2001
			CN	1213246 A	07-04-1999
	•		DE	69808188 D1	31-10-2002
		•	DE	69808188 T2	
			DE	69818523 D1	30-10-2003
			DE	69822002 D1	01-04-2004
			EP	1087623 A1	28-03-2001
			EΡ	1087624 A1	28-03-2001
•			EP	1229739 A2	07-08-2002
		•	EP	0891093 A2	13-01-1999
		•	ES	2207455 T3	01-06-2004
			ES	2184177 T3	01-04-2003
•		· ·	JP	3191925 B2	23-07-2001
•		•	JP	2001177835 A	29-06-2001
			JP	2001186522 A	06-07-2001
. ,			TW	417388 B	01-01-2001
			US	2002054640 A1	09-05-2002
			US.	6466697 B1	15-10-2002
			US	6665445 B1	16-12-2003
			US	2001013952 A1	16-08-2001
WO 03034743	Α	24-04-2003	WO	03034743 A1	24-04-2003
			US	2003128757 A1	10-07-2003

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

.. . .

9)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer** 

Schoeyer, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2136



### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/001373

	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
1.	the langua	d to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ge in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	langua (unde	r Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regar necessary	d to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of	material:
	□ as	sequence listing
	□ ¨ tab	ble(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format o	of material:
	□ in	written format
	□ in	computer readable form
	c. time of	filing/furnishing:
	□ со	ntained in the international application as filed.
	☐ file	ed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	☐ fu	rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	has b	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional es is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppriate, were furnished.
4	. Additiona	l comments:

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/001373

	D. i - ili.						
	Priority						
	owing document has						
						3 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).	
	translation of the ea	rlier applic	cation whos	se priority has	been claimed (I	Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 66.7	7(b)).
neverthe	eless been establist	nea on the	assumpuc	און נוומנ נוופ ופי	Evant date is the	aim. This opinion has claimed priority date	
haa haa	nion has been esta n found invalid (Rul te indicated above i	166 4:3 <i>ni</i> 6 1	i and 64.11	. Thus for the	; purposes or and	e fact that the priority s opinion, the internat	/ claim tional
. Additional ob	oservations, if nece	ssary:					
Box No. V	Reasoned stater	nent unde	er Rule 43	<i>bis.</i> 1(a)(i) wi	th regard to nov	elty, inventive step	or
industrial a	pplicability; citation	ons and e	xplanation	is supportin	g such stateme	· ·	
. Statement							
Novelty (N)		Yes:	Claims	1-7			
(140 City (14)		No:	Claims		•		
Inventive etc	an (19)	Yes:	Claims				
Inventive ste	σ <b>ρ</b> (13)	No:	Claims	1-7		•	
	and and allies (LAX	Yes:	Claims	1-7			
Industrial ap	oplicability (IA)	ves. No:	Claims	• •			
					•		
. Citations an	d explanations						
see separa	te sheet						
•						•	
Box No. VI	l Certain defects	in the in	ternationa	l application	1		
The following o	defects in the form of	or content	s of the inte	ernational ap	olication have be	een noted:	
see separa	ile Sileel						
Box No. VI							
The following o	observations on the	clarity of	the claims	, description,	and drawings or	on the question whe	ether th

claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V.

- 1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:
  - D1: "MUNICH MEETING OF MPEG-4 WORKING GROUP. REPORT ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11 MPEG4/N1172" INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION - ORGANISATION INTERNATIONALE DE NORMALISATION, XX, XX, 1996, pages 3-49, XP002047798
  - D2: EBRAHIMI T: "MPEG-4 video verification model: A video encoding/decoding algorithm based on content representation" SIGNAL PROCESSING. IMAGE COMMUNICATION, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS, AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 9, no. 4, 1 May 1997 (1997-05-01), pages 367-384, XP004075336 ISSN: 0923-5965
  - D3: EP 0 891 093 A (MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC IND CO LTD) 13 January 1999 (1999-01-13)
- 2 Inventive Step
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT.
- 2.1.1 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art to the subject matter of claim 1, discloses:

An encoding method which is applied to an input video sequence corresponding to successive scenes subdivided into video object planes (see abstract) and generating, for coding all the video objects of said scenes, a coded bitstream the content of which is described by means of a bitstream syntax allowing to recognize and decode all the elements of said content, including temporal prediction, in which the temporal prediction being chosen within a list comprising (see paragraph 3.3.2) the following situations:

- the temporal prediction is formed by directly applying the morion field sent by the encoder on one or more reference pictures;
- the temporal prediction is a copy of a reference image;
- the temporal prediction is formed by the temporal interpolation of the

PCT/IB2004/001373

motion field;

- the temporal prediction is formed by the temporal interpolation of the current motion field and further refined by the motion field sent by the encoder;

Differently than shown in D1 it is claimed in claim 1 that the channels are described independently and that additional syntactic information is included at slice level in the bitstream.

The skilled person working according to the method of D1, and confronted with the problem of improving the coding efficiency of the method of D1 will be aware of document D3. Document D3 shows (see e.g. figure 1) the inclusion of additional syntactic elements to include motion estimation for the different channels, As at is well known in the art to provide coding specifics at slice level, the skilled person will readily considered to implement the syntactic element at slice level and will arrive at the full combination of features of claim 1, without the exercise of any inventive step.

Consequently the subject-matter of current claim 1 lacks inventive step.

Incidently it is noted that subject-matter of claim 1 is also considered to lack inventive step based on the combination of documents D2 and D3 for substantially the same reasoning as set out above.

#### 2.1.2 Independent claim 2:

The subject-matter of claim 2 relates to an encoding method which substantially corresponds to that of claim 1, with the difference that the syntactic elements are placed at macroblock level. The inclusion of a syntactic element at macroblock level is considered to be obvious for similar reasons as set out above for claim 1.

#### 2.1.3 Independent claim 4

The subject-matter of claim 4 relates to an encoding apparatus functioning corresponding to the method of claims 1 and 2. Consequently the subject-matter of this claim is considered to lack inventive step for substantially the

PCT/IB2004/001373

same reasons as set out above for claim 1.

#### 2.1.4 Independent claim 5

The subject-matter of claim 5 relates to a signal which has been generated in accordance with a method as set out in claims 1 or 2. Consequently the subject-matter of this claim also lack inventive step for substantially the same reasons as set out above for claims 1 and 2.

#### 2.1.5 Independent claim 6

The subject-matter of claim 6 relates to a method for decoding a signal which has been generated in accordance with a method as set out in claims 1 or 2. Consequently the subject-matter of this claim also lack inventive step for substantially the same reasons as set out above for claims 1 and 2.

#### 2.1.6 Independent claim 7

The subject-matter of claim 7 relates to an decoding apparatus functioning corresponding to the method of claims 6. Consequently the subject-matter of this claim is considered to lack inventive step for substantially the same reasons as set out above for claim 6.

#### 2.1.7 Dependent claim 3

It is well known in the art to include a syntactic element having the same meaning for different channels, consequently the subject-matter of claim 3 is obvious.

#### 3. Article 33(4)

The subject-matter of claims 1-7 is industrially applicable in the field of image encoding.

#### Re Item VII.

### NION OF THE International application No.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2004/001373

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1-D3 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

#### Re Item VIII.

Article 6 PCT

The requirements of Article 6 PCT have not been met because claims 4 and 7 are not fully clear.

It is not clear what features the encoder and the decoder according to claims 4 and 7 actually comprise.

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FR030047WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/IB2004/001373	International filing date (day/month/year) 28 April 2004 (28.04.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 06 May 2003 (06.05.2003) ]	
International Patent Classification (IPC 7 H04N 7/26	C) or national classification and IPC		
Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRO	DNICS N.V.		

1.	This international preliminary re International Searching Authorit	eport on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the sy under Rule 44 bis.1(a).
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.
	In the attached sheets, any refere to the international preliminary r	ence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:
1	Box No. I	Basis of the report
	Box No. II	Priority
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
	- Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
4.	The International Bureau will connot, except where the applicant mate (Rule 44bis .2).	mmunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but nakes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority

	Date of issuance of this report 11 November 2005 (11.11.2005)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer  Idhir Britel
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 60

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and malling address of the ISA:

9

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Schoeyer, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2136



### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/001373

	Box No. I	
۱.	the langu	rd to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in age in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	langı (und	er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With rega	rd to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and y to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of	material:
	□ а	sequence listing
	□ ta	able(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format	of material:
	□ ir	written format
	□ iı	n computer readable form
	c. time o	f filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
	□ f	iled together with the international application in computer readable form.
	□ f	urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	has con	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ropriate, were furnished.
4	Addition	al comments:

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/IB2004/001373

Вс	ox No. II Priority					
$\boxtimes$	The following document has				101: d and 00 7(0))	
					43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).	
	☐ translation of the ea	arlier application wh	ose priority h	as been claimed	(Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b))	•
	Consequently it has not be nevertheless been establis	en possible to cons hed on the assump	ider the validi tion that the r	ty of the priority on the contract of the cont	claim. This opinion has ne claimed priority date.	
. 🗆	This opinion has been esta has been found invalid (Ru filing date indicated above	ies 43 <i>0is</i> 1 200 04.	1). Thus for th	ie barbosco oi ai	the fact that the priority clai nis opinion, the internationa	im เใ
A	dditional observations, if nece	ssary:				
B	ox No. V Reasoned state dustrial applicability; citation	ment under Rule 4	3 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) w	vith regard to no	ovelty, inventive step or ent	
		<u> </u>				
S	tatement					
Ν	ovelty (N)	Yes: Claims No: Claims	1-7			
		NO. Olaima			·	
lr	ventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims No: Claims	1-7			
lr	ndustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims No: Claims	1-7			
		NO. Claims		•		
. C	itations and explanations					
s	ee separate sheet					
	•					
	•					
	Sox No. VII Certain defects	s in the internation	nal application	on		
	following defects in the form				peen noted:	
		or comonic or me m		• •		
s	ee separate sheet					
				nlication		
		vations on the inte			the marking whether	the
The Clair	following observations on the ns are fully supported by the	<ul> <li>clarity of the claim description, are ma</li> </ul>	ns, descriptior nde:	ı, and drawings o	or on the question whether	une

#### Re Item V.

- 1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:
  - D1: "MUNICH MEETING OF MPEG-4 WORKING GROUP. REPORT ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11 MPEG4/N1172" INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION ORGANISATION INTERNATIONALE DE NORMALISATION, XX, XX, 1996, pages 3-49, XP002047798
  - D2: EBRAHIMI T: "MPEG-4 video verification model: A video encoding/decoding algorithm based on content representation" SIGNAL PROCESSING. IMAGE COMMUNICATION, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS, AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 9, no. 4, 1 May 1997 (1997-05-01), pages 367-384, XP004075336 ISSN: 0923-5965
  - D3: EP 0 891 093 A (MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC IND CO LTD) 13 January 1999 (1999-01-13)
- 2 Inventive Step
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT.
- 2.1.1 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art to the subject matter of claim 1, discloses:

An encoding method which is applied to an input video sequence corresponding to successive scenes subdivided into video object planes (see abstract) and generating, for coding all the video objects of said scenes, a coded bitstream the content of which is described by means of a bitstream syntax allowing to recognize and decode all the elements of said content, including temporal prediction, in which the temporal prediction being chosen within a list comprising (see paragraph 3.3.2) the following situations:

- the temporal prediction is formed by directly applying the morion field sent by the encoder on one or more reference pictures;
- the temporal prediction is a copy of a reference image;
- the temporal prediction is formed by the temporal interpolation of the